UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,213	03/30/2004	Nicolas Deloge	OSTEONICS 3.0-455	4051
530 LERNER, DA	7590 04/04/2007 VID, LITTENBERG,	,	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
WESTFIELD,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3733	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 Г	DAYS	04/04/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

) !!			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/813,213	DELOGE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Comstock	3733				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	-			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING alons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be code will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the come ARANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)				
Status							
1)∏ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u></u>			ana a anniliana ang kantikan manusikan t				
	Since this application is in condition for allow			IS			
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims		•	•			
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.					
48	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)□ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□ C	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application							
	he specification is objected to by the Examir	20.5					
			Francisco.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).			
''/ '''	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	se Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.	. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No.				
	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Burea		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* Sec	e the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ved.				
		,	,				
Attachment(s))						
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	rv (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				
	5(5)/Wall Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/813,213

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species characterized by the respective accompanying figures shown below:

- I. Fig. 2
- II. Fig. 7
- III. Fig. 9
- IV. Fig. 10

The species are independent or distinct because they are separate embodiments that are not used together, they have different physical forms, and they have different specific modes of operation. Accordingly, the additional search queries, consideration, application of art and time required for examination of the same would be a serious burden on the examiner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,213

Art Unit: 3733

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/813,213

Art Unit: 3733

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER